

SN 09/925,215

Page 2 of 2

REMARKS

This response is intended as a full and complete response to the Final Office Action mailed on April 29, 2005.

Claims 2-18 are currently pending in this application. However, the Office Action erroneously rejected claims 1-16. Applicants respectfully request correction of this matter.

The Office Action rejected claims 1-16 (should have been claims 2-18) under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,282,207 to Lerman et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,400,329 to Tokura et al.

A terminal disclaimer is enclosed herewith to obviate the double patenting rejection.

CONCLUSION

Both reconsideration of this application and its swift passage to issue are earnestly solicited. If, however, the Examiner believes that there are any unresolved issues requiring adverse final action in any of the claims now pending in the application, it is requested that the Examiner telephone Eamon J. Wall at (732) 530-9404 so that appropriate arrangements can be made for resolving such issues as expeditiously as possible.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 6/29/05

E J Wall
Eamon J. Wall
Registration No. 39,414
Attorney for Applicant

MOSER, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP
595 Shrewsbury Avenue, Suite 100
Shrewsbury, New Jersey 07702
Telephone: 732-530-9404
Facsimile: 732-530-9808